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# HiSCORE experiment 



- Timing array for cosmic ray and highenergy gamma-ray physics
- Part of the TAIGA experiment
- Captures the amplitudes of signal and its time of arrival
- Array is split into 4 clusters
- Energetic threshold: 80 TeV for cosmic rays and 40 TeV for gamma rays


## Artificial neural networks

- consists of the simplest interconnected processors - neurons
- each of the neurons of the layer summarizes the information from the previous layer and after the nonlinear transformation passes the result to the next layer.
- network parameters are corrected
using backpropagation method



## Convolutional neural networks (CNN)

CNNs - type of neural network for data processing with grid topology. The result of the convolution operation is called a feature map. Due to sparse connectivity, a convolutional neural network extracts only significant features.


## Proposed methods

## Convolutional network

## Fully-connected

## network

- Array of stations with timing /amplitude data is presented as an image.
- $\varphi$ and $\theta$ of shower axis are extracted from the image as a feature
- Time/amplitude data is presented as a function of multiple arguments
- Expected result of the function: $\varphi$ and $\theta$ of shower axis


## Convolutional network

Kernel size: $2 \times 2$

Number of parameters: 1,665,818

Optimizer: ADAM
Learning rate: 0,001
Error: MSE = $\sum 1 / \mathrm{n}$ (y_true y_pred) ${ }^{2}$

Epochs: 20
Padding: 'same'

| Layer type | Output <br> shape | Activation function |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Convolutional 2D, filters <br> $=128$ | $14,14,128$ | LeakyReLU |
| Max pooling 2D, <br> pool_size $=(2,2)$ | $7,7,128$ | - |
| Convolutional 2D, filters <br> 256 | $7,7,256$ | LeakyReLU |
| Max pooling 2D, <br> pool_size $=(2,2)$ | $3,3,256$ | - |
| Flatten | 2304 | - |
| Dense | 576 | LeakyReLU |
| Dense | 2 | LeakyReLU |
| Dense | Linear |  |

## HiSCORE events




## CNN model results




Распределение абсолютных ошибок для тестовой выборки

## Reasoning behind 'dense' method

- Stations with no signal are taken as zero-value pixels in timing array - confusing to ANN

$$
\delta_{i}=t_{0}-t_{i}
$$

## Dense-ANN method:

- a fully connected network plays the role of an approximator of the function $F(X)->\{\theta, \varphi\}$, $X m=\left\{\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{\delta}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \delta_{2}, \mathrm{a}_{2}\right.$, $\left.r_{3}, a_{3}, \delta_{3}, a_{3}\right\}$,
- no-signal stations are excluded from the $F(X)$


## Dense network

| Тип слоя | Output shape | Activation function | Number of parameters: 756,332 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flatten | (None, 25) | - |  |
| Dense | (None, 100) | LeakyReLU | Optimizer: ADAM |
| Dense | (None, 500) | LeakyReLU | Learning rate: 0,001 |
| Dense | (None, 1000) | LeakyReLU | Error: MSE $=\Sigma 1 / \mathrm{n}$ (y_true - y_pred) ${ }^{2}$ |
| Dropout (10\%) | (None, 1000) | - | Epochs: 30 |
| Dense | (None, 200) | LeakyReLU |  |
| Dense | (None, 10) | LeakyReLU |  |
| Dense | (None, 2) | Linear |  |

## Dense model results





Conclusions

## Conclusions

## MAE for Convolutional model:

$4^{\circ}$ for theta $\quad 32^{\circ}$ for phi
MAE for Dense model:
$9^{\circ}$ for theta $39^{\circ}$ for phi
Further investigation is needed. At present, the accuracy of the method is insufficient for the purposes experiment. We associate this with highly unbalanced angle data set.

We hope that hyperparameter optimization of networks, as well as improving the learning process will dramatically improve the accuracy of determining the angle phi, and the accuracy of determining the angle theta make it less than 1 degree.

## Thank you for your attention!

