Improving Physics-Informed Neural Networks via Quasiclassical Loss Functionals

Шорохов С.Г.

The 8th International Conference on Deep Learning in Computational Physics

SINP MSU, Moscow, Russia June 21, 2024



Шорохов С.Г. (РУДН) Improving PINNs via Quasiclassical Loss



- The method of symmetrizing operator by V.M. Shalov
- Boundary value problem for hyperbolic equation
- Functional by V.M. Shalov for the boundary value
- Final form of neural network loss functional
- Algorithm of training a neural network with quasiclassical functional
- Training a neural network with residual functional and quasiclassical functional

DLCP'2024





Филиппов В.М., Савчин В.М., Шорохов С.Г. Вариационные принципы для непотенциальных операторов // Итоги науки и техники. Серия Современные проблемы математики. Новейшие достижения. ВИНИТИ, 1992, Том 40, С.3–176

Filippov V.M., Savchin V.M., Shorokhov S.G. Variational principles for nonpotential operators // Journal of Mathematical Sciences. 1994. Vol.68, No.3, pp. 275-398 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01252319

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Loss functionals for neural network training



Neural network training requires a loss functional: residual functional, energy functional, etc.

Some authors suggest, in the absence of classical (energy) functionals, to use nonclassical functionals from variational principles for nonpotential operators for neural network training:

- Y. Zhu, N. Zabaras, P.-S. Koutsourelakis, P. Perdikaris. Physics-constrained deep learning for high-dimensional surrogate modeling and uncertainty quantification without labeled data. Journal of Computational Physics 394 (2019) 56–81
- N. Geneva and N. Zabaras. Modeling the dynamics of PDE systems with physics-constrained deep auto-regressive networks. Journal of Computational Physics 403 (2020) 109056

The prioblem: can nonclassical variational functionals of the theory of variational principles for nonpotential operators be used in training neural networks that approximate solutions to boundary value problems for equations of mathematical physics?



M. Raissi, P. Perdikaris, G.E. Karniadakis. Physics-Informed Neural Networks: A Deep Learning Framework for Solving Forward and Inverse Problems Involving Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. Journal of Computational Physics 378 (2019) 686–707

Given

- physical model equation (PDE) $\mathscr{L}[\mathbf{u}] = 0, \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$
- initial and boundary conditions $\mathscr{B}[\mathbf{u}] = 0, \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$
- physical laws (properties) (if any) $\mathscr{P}[\mathbf{u}] = 0, \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$

Appriximate the solution \boldsymbol{u} with a neural network output using the residual loss functional of the form

$$\mathscr{J}\left[\mathbf{u}\right] = \left\|\mathscr{L}\left[\mathbf{u}\right]\right\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \left\|\mathscr{B}\left[\mathbf{u}\right]\right\|_{\partial\Omega}^{2} + \left\|\mathscr{P}\left[\mathbf{u}\right]\right\|_{\Omega}^{2}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト

The method of symmetrizing operator



The method of constructing variational formulations for boundary value problems, proposed by V.M. Shalov in 1963, consists of constructing two vector operators \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} such that operator \mathbf{A} is \mathbf{B} -symmetric:

$$\langle \mathbf{A}u, \, \mathbf{B}v \rangle = \langle \mathbf{B}u, \, \mathbf{A}v \rangle \, \forall u, v$$

and \mathbf{B} -positive:

$$\langle \mathbf{A}u, \, \mathbf{B}u \rangle > 0 \,\forall u \neq 0,$$
$$\langle \mathbf{A}u_n, \, \mathbf{B}u_n \rangle \to 0, \, n \to \infty \Rightarrow ||u_n|| \to 0, \, n \to \infty,$$

where the boundary value problem (partial differential equation and boundary conditions) is represented in the form

$$\mathbf{A} u = \mathbf{f},$$

where ${\bf f}$ is a vector function, then the variational functional for the boundary value problem has the form

$$D[u] = \langle \mathbf{A} u, \mathbf{B} u \rangle - 2 \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{B} u \rangle.$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Boundary value problem for hyperbolic equation



We study the construction of a variational formulation for a homogeneous hyperbolic equation

$$u_{\xi\eta} = 0 \tag{1}$$

in a rhombus-shaped domain Ω with vertices at the points $\Gamma_0(0, 0)$, $\Gamma_1(\pi, \pi)$, $\Gamma_2(2\pi, 0)$, $\Gamma_3(\pi, -\pi)$ with boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases}
 u |_{\gamma_1} = \chi_1(\xi), \\
 u_{\eta} |_{\gamma_2} = \varphi_2(\eta), \\
 u_{\eta} |_{\gamma_3} = \varphi_3(\eta), \\
 u_{\xi} |_{\gamma_3} = \psi_3(\xi), \\
 u_{\xi} |_{\gamma_4} = \psi_4(\xi),
 \end{cases}$$
(2)

where the segment γ_1 connects the points $\Gamma_0(0, 0)$ and $\Gamma_1(\pi, \pi)$, the segment γ_2 connects the points $\Gamma_1(\pi, \pi)$ and $\Gamma_2(2\pi, 0)$, the segment γ_3 connects the points $\Gamma_2(2\pi, 0)$ and $\Gamma_3(\pi, -\pi)$, the segment γ_4 connects the points $\Gamma_3(\pi, -\pi)$ and $\Gamma_0(0, 0)$.

Here $u \in W_2^1(\Omega)$ and $(\chi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \psi_3, \psi_4) \in L_2(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2 \times \gamma_3 \times \gamma_3 \times \gamma_4).$

イロト イヨト イヨト

Domain Ω and outer normal to $\partial \Omega$





The components of the outer normal \vec{n} to the boundary $\partial \Omega$ on different sections of the boundary $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$ are calculated using the formulas:

$$\vec{n} (\gamma_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-1, 1) ,$$

$$\vec{n} (\gamma_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1, 1) ,$$

$$\vec{n} (\gamma_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1, -1) ,$$

$$\vec{n} (\gamma_4) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-1, -1) .$$

Шорохов С.Г. (РУДН)

Improving PINNs via Quasiclassical Loss

Vector operators ${\bf A}$ and ${\bf B}$ and vector function ${\bf f}$



The vector differential operator **A** and the vector integro-differential operator **B**, acting from $W_2^1(\Omega)$ to $L_2(\Omega \times \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2 \times \gamma_3 \times \gamma_3 \times \gamma_4)$, are defined by the equalities

$$\mathbf{A} u = \begin{bmatrix} u_{\xi\eta} \\ u \\ u_{\eta} \\ u_{\eta} \\ u_{\xi} \\ u_{\xi} \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{B} v = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{\xi}^{\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_4} v_{\eta}\left(\zeta,\eta\right) d\zeta + \int_{\eta}^{\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2} v_{\xi}\left(\xi,\tau\right) d\tau \\ v \\ -n_1\left(\gamma_2\right) \int_{\xi}^{\gamma_1} v_{\eta}\left(\zeta,\eta\right) d\zeta \\ -n_1\left(\gamma_3\right) \int_{\xi}^{\gamma_2} v_{\eta}\left(\zeta,\eta\right) d\zeta \\ -n_2\left(\gamma_3\right) \int_{\eta}^{\gamma_2} v_{\xi}\left(\xi,\tau\right) d\tau \\ -n_2\left(\gamma_4\right) \int_{\eta}^{\gamma_1} v_{\xi}\left(\xi,\tau\right) d\tau \end{bmatrix},$$

and the vector function ${\bf f}$ is equal to

$$\dot{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \chi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_3 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{bmatrix}$$



Vector functions $\mathbf{A} u$, $\mathbf{B} v$ and \mathbf{f} are defined on the Cartesian product of domains $\Omega \times \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2 \times \gamma_3 \times \gamma_3 \times \gamma_4$.

The scalar product of vectors $\mathbf{A}u$ and $\mathbf{B}v$ is calculated by multiplying the corresponding components, calculating the integrals over the corresponding sets, and summing the resulting values using the formula

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbf{A}u, \, \mathbf{B}v \rangle &= \int\limits_{\Omega} \left(\mathbf{A}\,u\right)_1 \left(\mathbf{B}\,v\right)_1 \, d\xi d\eta + \int\limits_{\gamma_1} \left(\mathbf{A}\,u\right)_2 \left(\mathbf{B}\,v\right)_2 \, ds + \int\limits_{\gamma_2} \left(\mathbf{A}\,u\right)_3 \left(\mathbf{B}\,v\right)_3 \, ds + \\ &+ \int\limits_{\gamma_3} \left(\mathbf{A}\,u\right)_4 \left(\mathbf{B}\,v\right)_4 \, ds + \int\limits_{\gamma_3} \left(\mathbf{A}\,u\right)_5 \left(\mathbf{B}\,v\right)_5 \, ds + \int\limits_{\gamma_4} \left(\mathbf{A}\,u\right)_6 \left(\mathbf{B}\,v\right)_6 \, ds \end{split}$$

イロト イポト イラト イラト

Shalov's functional for the boundary value problem



The variational functional by V.M. Shalov for the boundary value problem under consideration (1)–(2) has the form

$$D[u] = \int_{\Omega} \left(u_{\xi}^2 + u_{\eta}^2 \right) d\xi d\eta + \int_{\gamma_1} u^2 ds +$$

$$-2\int_{\gamma_{1}}\chi_{1}u\,ds + 2\int_{\gamma_{2}}\varphi_{2}n_{1}\int_{\xi}^{\gamma_{1}}u_{\eta}\left(\zeta,\eta\right)d\zeta\,ds + 2\int_{\gamma_{3}}\varphi_{3}n_{1}\sin\xi\int_{\xi}^{\gamma_{4}}u_{\eta}\left(\zeta,\eta\right)d\zeta\,ds + \\ +2\int_{\gamma_{3}}\psi_{3}n_{2}\int_{\eta}^{\gamma_{2}}u_{\xi}\left(\xi,\tau\right)d\tau\,ds + 2\int_{\gamma_{4}}\psi_{4}n_{2}\int_{\eta}^{\gamma_{1}}u_{\xi}\left(\xi,\tau\right)d\tau\,ds.$$
(3)

However, this form of the functional is not convinient for use as a loss functional in training a neural network due to repeated integrals.

Theorem

The variational functional by V.M. Shalov (3) for the boundary value problem (1)-(2) can be written in the form

$$D[u] = \int_{\Omega} \left(u_{\xi}^2 + u_{\eta}^2 - 2\Phi \, u_{\eta} - 2\Psi \, u_{\xi} \right) \, d\xi d\eta + \int_{\gamma_1} \left(u^2 - 2\chi_1 u \right) ds, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$\Phi\left(\eta\right) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{2}\left(\eta\right), & 0 \leqslant \eta \leqslant \pi, \\ \varphi_{3}\left(\eta\right), & -\pi \leqslant \eta < 0, \end{cases}, \ \Psi\left(\xi\right) = \begin{cases} \psi_{3}\left(\xi\right), & \pi \leqslant \xi \leqslant 2\pi, \\ \psi_{4}\left(\xi\right), & 0 \leqslant \xi < \pi. \end{cases}$$

Functional (4) contains the first-order derivatives of the function u and can be used for training a neural network that approximates the solution of the boundary value problem (1)-(2).

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



The solution of a boundary value problem for hyperbolic equation

$$u_{\xi\eta} = 0, \, (\xi, \, \eta) \in \Omega$$

with boundary conditions

 $\begin{cases} u |_{\gamma_{1}} = \chi_{1} (\xi) ,\\ u_{\eta} |_{\gamma_{2}} = \varphi_{2} (\eta) ,\\ u_{\eta} |_{\gamma_{3}} = \varphi_{3} (\eta) ,\\ u_{\xi} |_{\gamma_{3}} = \psi_{3} (\xi) ,\\ u_{\xi} |_{\gamma_{4}} = \psi_{4} (\xi) \end{cases}$

can be approximated by a deep neural network with the output $f(\xi, \eta; \theta)$, where ξ , η are the input values, and θ is the vector of parameters (weights and biases) of the neural network:

$$u(\xi, \eta) \approx f(\xi, \eta; \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

(4月) (3日) (3日)



When training a neural network, the residual functional can be used as a loss (error) functional:

$$\mathcal{L}_{R}(f) = \|f_{\xi\eta}\|_{\Omega}^{2} + \|f - \chi_{1}\|_{\gamma_{1}}^{2} + \|f_{\eta} - \varphi_{2}\|_{\gamma_{2}}^{2} + \|f_{\eta} - \varphi_{3}\|_{\gamma_{3}}^{2} + \|f_{\xi} - \psi_{3}\|_{\gamma_{3}}^{2} + \|f_{\xi} - \psi_{4}\|_{\gamma_{4}}^{2},$$

where $||f||_X^2 = \int_X |f(x)|^2 \rho(x) dx$, $\rho(x)$ is the density of some probability distribution on X (for example, a uniform distribution).

When using the residual functional $\mathcal{L}_{R}(f)$, it is necessary to calculate five integrals included in $\mathcal{L}_{R}(f)$, for which it is necessary to construct random samples from five different domains – Ω , γ_{1} , γ_{2} , γ_{3} , γ_{4} , and calculate the partial derivatives of the unknown function f up to and including the second order.

イロト イヨト イヨト



When training a neural network, the obtained quasiclassical functional can also be used as a loss (error) functional.

$$\mathcal{L}_{Q}(f) = \int_{\Omega} \left(f_{\xi}^{2} + f_{\eta}^{2} - 2\Phi f_{\eta} - 2\Psi f_{\xi} \right) d\xi d\eta + \int_{\gamma_{1}} \left(f^{2} - 2\chi_{1}f \right) ds.$$

When using the quasiclassical functional $\mathcal{L}_Q(f)$, it is necessary to calculate two integrals from $\mathcal{L}_Q(f)$, and, accordingly, to construct two random samples from the domain Ω and from the part of the boundary γ_1 , and calculate the first-order partial derivatives of the unknown function f.

Therefore, generally, when using the quasiclassical functional, training of a neural network requires less computational resources compared to using the residual functional due to the use of a smaller number of random samples and the calculation of lower-order partial derivatives.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



The algorithm for training a neural network using a quasiclassical functional

$$\mathcal{L}_{Q}(f) = \int_{\Omega} \left(f_{\xi}^{2} + f_{\eta}^{2} - 2\Phi f_{\eta} - 2\Psi f_{\xi} \right) d\xi d\eta + \int_{\gamma_{1}} \left(f^{2} - 2\chi_{1}f \right) ds$$

as a loss functional includes the following steps:

- 1) Select the initial set of neural network parameters $\pmb{\theta}_0$ and the initial learning rate α_0
- 2) Generate two random samples for the domain Ω and the boundary $\partial\Omega,$ namely
 - generate a random sample $\{(\xi_{i_0}, \eta_{i_0})\}_{i_0=1}^{n_0}$ of n_0 points from the domain Ω with distribution ν_0
 - generate a random sample $\{(\xi_{i_1}, \eta_{i_1})\}_{i_1=1}^{n_1}$ of n_1 points from the boundary segment $\gamma_1 \subset \partial \Omega$ with distribution ν_1

16/36

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Training with quasiclassical functional \mathcal{L}_Q (2)



3) Calculate the functional $\mathcal{L}_Q(f)$ for the generated random samples combined into a mini-batch $\mathbf{s}_k = \{\{(\xi_{i_0}, \eta_{i_0})\}_{i_0=1}^{n_0}, \{(\xi_{i_1}, \eta_{i_1})\}_{i_1=1}^{n_1}\}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Q}(f, \mathbf{s}_{k}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k}) = \frac{1}{n_{0}} \sum_{i_{0}=1}^{n_{0}} \left(f_{\xi}^{2}(\xi_{i_{0}}, \eta_{i_{0}}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k}) + f_{\eta}^{2}(\xi_{i_{0}}, \eta_{i_{0}}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k}) - 2\Psi(\xi_{i_{0}}) f_{\xi}(\xi_{i_{0}}, \eta_{i_{0}}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k}) - 2\Psi(\eta_{i_{0}}) f_{\eta}(\xi_{i_{0}}, \eta_{i_{0}}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k}) \right) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{n_{1}}\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n_{1}}\left(f^{2}\left(\xi_{i_{1}},\,\eta_{i_{1}};\,\boldsymbol{\theta}_{k}\right)-2\,\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{i_{1}}\right)f\left(\xi_{i_{1}},\,\eta_{i_{1}};\,\boldsymbol{\theta}_{k}\right)\right)$$

4) Perform a number of gradient descent steps with a mini-batch (random points) \mathbf{s}_k using the adaptive Adam algorithm (or other neural network learning algorithm) with learning rate α_k (the learning rate α_k is updated automatically at each step):

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_k - \alpha_k \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{L}_Q \left(f, \, \mathbf{s}_k; \, \boldsymbol{\theta}_k \right)$$

5) Repeat steps 2-4 until the change in neural network parameters $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{k+1} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_k\|$ becomes small enough (or use another stopping criterion)

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Neural network for a boundary value problem



We run computational experiments on training neural networks to approximate the solution of the hyperbolic equation $u_{\xi\eta} = 0$ with boundary conditions



which is equal to

$$u\left(\xi,\eta\right) = -\frac{1}{4}\cos 2\xi + \frac{1}{4}\cos 2\eta$$





We use the residual functional and the constructed quasiclassical functional as a loss functional.

Computational experiments are carried out for the neural network architecture specified below with the following hyperparameter values:

- a feedforward neural network (FF) with dense layers is used
- the number of hidden layers is 4 with the activation function tanh
- the number of neurons in the hidden layers is 100
- one neuron without the activation function is in the output layer
- 200 training epochs are performed with 10 SGD steps for each epoch
- the Adam optimizer is used with an initial learning rate of 0.0001
- \bullet a batch contains 1000 samples from domain Ω and 500 samples for each section of the boundary $\partial \Omega$

The program code is implemented using TensorFlow framework and is executed on a MacBook Pro computer with M2Max processor.



```
# % Sampling function for residuals functional - randomly sample xi-eta pairs
def sampler_r(nSim_i, nSim_b):
    ''' Sample xi-eta points from the function's domain;
        points are sampled uniformly on the interior of the domain
        and for initial/terminal/boundary points
    Args:
        nSim i: number of points in the interior of domain to sample
        nSim b: number of points at the boundary to sample
    ...
    # Sample #0: domain interior
    x_0 = np.random.uniform(low=x_low, high=x_high, size=[nSim_i, 1])
    t_0 = np.random.uniform(low=t_low, high=t_high, size=[nSim_i, 1])
    # Sample #1: initial condition (gamma1 curve)
    x_1 = np.random.uniform(low=x_low, high=x_high, size=[nSim_b, 1])
    t_1 = t_low * np.ones((nSim_b, 1)) # np.zeros((nSim_b, 1))
```

. . .

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト



```
# Sample #2: boundary condition (gamma2 curve)
x_2 = x_{high} * np.ones((nSim_b, 1))
t 2 = np.random.uniform(low=t low, high=t high, size=[nSim b, 1])
# Sample #3: terminal condition (gamma3 curve)
x_3 = np.random.uniform(low=x_low, high=x_high, size=[nSim_b, 1])
t 3 = t high * np.ones((nSim b, 1))
# Sample #4: boundary condition (gamma4 curve)
x_4 = x_low * np.ones((nSim_b, 1)) # np.zeros((nSim_b, 1))
t_4 = np.random.uniform(low=t_low, high=t_high, size=[nSim_b, 1])
return x_0 + t_0, x_0 - t_0, x_1 + t_1, x_1 - t_1, \setminus
    x_2 + t_2, x_2 - t_2, x_3 + t_3, x_3 - t_3, \setminus
    x 4 + t 4, x 4 - t 4
```

...

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Residual functional - loss calculation (1)



```
# Residuals loss functional for hyperbolic PDE boundary problem
def loss_r(model, x0, e0, x1, e1, x2, e2, x3, e3, x4, e4):
    ''' Compute total loss for training the neural network
```

```
Args:
```

model:	neural network model object
×0:	sampled xi points in the interior
e0:	sampled eta points in the interior
x1,,x4:	sampled xi points at the boundary
e1,,e4:	sampled eta points at the boundary

1.1.1

```
# Loss term #0: average L2-norm of hyperbolic PDE differential operator
# function value and derivatives at sampled points
with tf.GradientTape() as tU0x:
    with tf.GradientTape() as tU0:
        U0 = model(x0, e0)
        U0x = tU0.gradient(U0, x0)
U0xe = tU0x.gradient(U0x, e0)
L0 = tf.reduce mean( tf.sguare(U0xe) )
```

4 D N 4 D N 4 D N 4 D

Residual functional - loss calculation (2)



```
# Loss term #1: average L2-norm of initial condition (on gammal)
      U1 = model(x1, e1)
      L1 = tf.reduce_mean( tf.square(U1) )
      # Loss term #2: average L2-norm of boundary condition (on gamma2)
      with tf.GradientTape() as tU2:
          U2 = model(x2, e2)
      U2e = tU2.gradient(U2, e2)
      L2 = tf.reduce mean(tf.square(U2e + 0.5*tf.math.sin(2.*e2)))
      # Loss term #3: average L2-norm of terminal condition (on gamma3)
      with tf.GradientTape() as tU3:
          U3 = model(x3, e3)
      U3x, U3e = tU3.gradient(U3, [x3, e3])
      L3 = tf.reduce mean( tf.square( U3e + 0.5 \times tf.math.sin(2.*e3) ) + \
           tf.reduce_mean( tf.square( U3x - 0.5*tf.math.sin(2.*x3) ) )
      # Loss term #4: average L2-norm of boundary condition (on gamma4)
      with tf.GradientTape() as tU4:
          U4 = model(x4, e4)
      U4x = tU4.gradient(U4, x4)
      L4 = tf.reduce_mean( tf.square( U4x - 0.5*tf.math.sin(2.*x4) ) )
      return L0 + L1 + L2 + L3 + L4 #, L0, L1, L2, L3, L4
Шорохов С.Г. (РУДН)
                        Improving PINNs via Quasiclassical Loss
                                                               DLCP'2024
```

Residual functional – the loss graph





イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Residual functional – the approximation





Residual functional – 2d error





Шорохов С.Г. (РУДН) Improving PINNs

Improving PINNs via Quasiclassical Loss

E

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Residual functional – 3d error surface





Шорохов С.Г. (РУДН)

Improving PINNs via Quasiclassical Loss

DLCP'2024



```
# % Sampling function for guasi-classical functional - randomly sample xi-eta pairs
def sampler q(nSim i, nSim b):
    ''' Sample xi-eta points from the function's domain;
       points are sampled uniformly on the interior of the domain
       and for initial/terminal/boundary points
   Args:
       nSim i: number of points in the interior of domain to sample
        nSim_b: number of points at the boundary to sample
    . . .
   # Sample #0: domain interior
   x 0 = np.random.uniform(low=x low, high=x high, size=[nSim i, 1])
   t_0 = np.random.uniform(low=t_low, high=t_high, size=[nSim_i, 1])
   # Sample #1: initial condition (gamma1 curve)
   x_1 = np.random.uniform(low=x_low, high=x_high, size=[nSim_b, 1])
   t 1 = t low * np.ones((nSim b, 1)) # np.zeros((nSim b, 1))
```

return $x_0 + t_0$, $x_0 - t_0$, $x_1 + t_1$, $x_1 - t_1$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Шорохов С.Г. (РУДН)



DLCP'2024

```
# quasi-classical loss functional for hyperbolic PDE boundary problem
def loss q(model, x0, e0, x1, e1):
    ''' Compute total loss for training the neural network
    Args:
        model:
                     neural network model object
        ×0:
                    sampled xi points in the interior
                    sampled eta points in the interior
        e0:
                    sampled xi points at the boundary
        x1:
                    sampled eta points at the boundary
        e1:
    1.1.1
    # Loss term #0: average L2-norm of hyperbolic PDE differential operator
    # function value and derivatives at sampled points
    with tf.GradientTape() as tU0:
        U0 = model(x0, e0)
    U0x, U0e = tU0.gradient(U0, [x0, e0])
    L0 = tf.reduce_mean(tf.square(U0x) + tf.square(U0e) - \
                        U0x*tf.math.sin(2.*x0) + U0e*tf.math.sin(2.*e0))
    # Loss term #1: average L2-norm of initial condition (on gammal)
    U1 = model(x1, e1)
    L1 = tf.reduce_mean( tf.square(U1) )
    return L0 + L1 #, L0, L1
                                                   ・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト
                       Improving PINNs via Quasicla<u>ssical Loss</u>
```

Quasiclassical functional – the loss graph





Шорохов С.Г. (РУДН)

Improving PINNs via Quasiclassical Loss

DLCP'2024

Quasiclassical functional – the approximation





Quasiclassical functional -2d error





Шорохов С.Г. (РУДН) Improving Pl

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Quasiclassical functional – 3d error surface





Indicators of training and quality	Neural network with residual functional	Neural network with quasiclassical functional
Training time (for 200 epochs)	119.9 sec	40.2 sec
MSE (mean squared error)	0.0305	0.0002
MAE (mean absolute error)	0.1169	0.0090
$\frac{R^2(\text{coefficient of } }{\text{determination}}$	49.2%	99.7%





• The boundary value problem (1)–(2) under consideration for hyperbolic equation admits variational functional

$$\mathcal{L}_Q\left(f\right) = \int\limits_{\Omega} \left(f_{\xi}^2 + f_{\eta}^2 - 2\Phi f_{\eta} - 2\Psi f_{\xi}\right) d\xi d\eta + \int\limits_{\gamma_1} \left(f^2 - 2\chi_1 f\right) ds,$$

which can be used as a loss functional when training a neural network

- The obtained variational functional has a number of advantages over the residual functional when training a physics-informed neural network.
- The implementation of the neural network training algorithm with the obtained variational functional demonstrates the convergence of the neural network training process and the achievement of sufficiently high quality indicators of the resulting physics-informed neural network for the boundary value problem (1)-(2)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・



Any question?

Шорохов С.Г. (РУДН) Improving PINNs via Quasiclassical Loss

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ