Реконструкция ШАЛ, зарегистрированных флуоресцентными телескопами, с помощью нейронных сетей

Михаил Зотов^{1,а} и Павел Захаров^{2,3} для коллаборации JEM-EUSO

¹НИИЯФ МГУ; ²ВМК МГУ; ³Сбер

The 8th International Conference on Deep Learning in Computational Physics, Moscow, June 2024

^аМоделирование и анализ для EUSO-TA поддержаны грантом РНФ 22-62-00010; разработка нейронных сетей для EUSO-SPB2 была выполнена при поддержке гранта РНФ 22-22-0367.

Глобальный предмет исследований: космические лучи предельно высоких энергий

КЛ ПВЭ: $E \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{19}$ эВ (начиная с предела ГЗК)

 1961:
 50 ЭэВ (Volcano Ranch)

 1962:
 100 ЭэВ (Volcano Ranch)

 1991:
 320 ЭэВ (Fly's Eye)

 1993:
 213 ЭэВ (Agasa)

 2021:
 244 ЭэВ (Telescope Array)

Природа и происхождение КЛ ПВЭ остаются загадкой!

Одна из ключевых проблем: крайне низкий поток

M. Zotov. P. Zakharov



Изучение КЛ ПВЭ из космоса и с земли

1980: Linsley, Benson:





Figure: Telescope Array

EUSO-SPB2 (2023)



Стратосферный эксперимент: черенковский и флуоресцентный телескопы.

FT: входное окно ø1 м, поле зрения $12^\circ \times 36^\circ$, фокальная поверхность 48×144 .

The datasets, integral tracks w/o & with background

Two datasets simulated with $\overline{\text{Off}}$ energies $10^{18.1}$ eV, $10^{18.2}$ eV, ..., $10^{19.7}$ eV (1.26...50.12 EeV) Set created with Geant4-based optics: 8.123 tracks; 5.527 of them with $E > 10^{19}$ eV

 \bigcirc Set created with parametric optics: 141,425 tracks; 102,086 of them with $E \ge 10^{19}$ eV



1. Track Recognition via Semantic Segmentation

For Mini-EUSO, we developed a 2-step method of meteor track recognition employing a CNN for the signal localization in a 3D chunk of data and an MLP identifying hit pixels within the chunk [Algorithms, 2023]. It can be applied here, too.

Completely different approaches are possible. One of them is **semantic segmentation**, which means predicting, for each pixel of an image, the class of the object to which it belongs.



Figure: https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.00561

Performance metrics for tests (integral tracks)



Left: each test was run on samples with the same energy as used for training. **Right:** ANN trained on $E = 10^{19.7}$ eV samples.

Track recognition for photon count sums, $E = 10^{19.7}$ eV





M. Zotov, P. Zakharov

ANNs for EAS reconstruction

Another approach: Image Filtering



Image filtering (opencv library) and gradient boosting classifier.



Left: training sample. Right: test sample.

2. Energy Reconstruction via Regression



Dependence of the maximum photon count vs. energy in samples in dataset 1.

One can employ a CNN similar to those used for image classification with the linear activation function in the final FC layer instead of sigmoid. Currently: 6 convolutional and 3 FC layers.

Data set 2 (parametric optics) with "realistic" track recognition



 $E \ge 10$ EeV, tracks recognized with Mean IoU ≥ 0.89 . MAPE $\approx 14\%$. MAPE $\sim 16\%$ for MIoU ≥ 0.8

EUSO-TA: наземный ФТ на сайте эксперимента Telescope Array (USA)



Телескоп-рефрактор: две линзы Френеля ø1 м, фокальная поверхность 48 × 48.

M. Zotov, P. Zakharov

Ingredients

- CONEX (QGSJet-II)
- Offline (eyeCentric). The core of EASs is within the projection of the EUSO-TA FoV on the ground.
- Two sets of events with energies in the range 5...100 EeV (one log-uniform, another quasi uniform)
- Elevation angle 10° .
- Data selection:
 - HasTrigger = True
 - In the pure signal, the number of GTUs with non-zero signal is > 1.
 - In IntTrack, the distance between pixels with > 2 photon counts is ≥ 24 (to exclude dim "clouds")
- The number of events used for training: 40-50 thousand. Test: 200 events.
- The convolutional network is almost the same as used for EUSO-SPB2.
- The background was simulated but not used yet.

At the moment, I omit the stage of track recognition because it is clear how to implement it, and work only with pure signals. According to the SPB2 experience, this isn't crucial.

M. Zotov, P. Zakharov

ANNs for EAS reconstruction

Наземный телескоп: сигнал зависит от расстояния до оси ливня



Пример интегральных треков Слева: E = 38 EeV (D = 10.6 km), θ = 33°, ϕ = 173° Справа: E = 92 EeV (D = 18 km), θ = 42°, ϕ = 15°

Маленькое поле зрения $10.5^{\circ} \times 10.5^{\circ}$. Практически нет полных треков.

Reconstruction of energy. Metric: MAPE = 17%



Left: true labels vs. predicted ones (units: EeV). Right: histogram for percentage error 100(true-predicted)/true

M. Zotov, P. Zakharov

Reconstruction of zenith angles



Reconstruction of azimuth angles



Angular separation between true and predicted arrival directions



Резюме

- We have developed a pair of simple ANNs aimed at recognition of EAS tracks and reconstruction of CR energy and arrival directions using simulated data for the EUSO-SPB2 and EUSO-TA fluorescence telescopes. (Very) preliminary results for EUSO-SPB2 are available in the ICRC-2023 proceedings.
- To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work of this kind for FTs. Neither TA, nor Auger have published works on ML-based energy/AD reconstruction with FT data.
- The approach is generic and can be applied to other FTs, both in space and on the ground.
- The first results aren't groundbreaking but... Work in progress.



Backup slides

PR AUC: area under the precision-recall curve

$$\mathsf{Precision} = \frac{\mathrm{TP}}{\mathrm{TP} + \mathrm{FP}}, \qquad \mathsf{Recall} = \mathsf{TPR} = \frac{\mathrm{TP}}{\mathrm{TP} + \mathrm{FN}}$$

Mean IoU (a common evaluation metric for semantic image segmentation):

$$\label{eq:Intersection-Over-Union} \mathsf{Intersection-Over-Union} = \frac{\mathsf{TP}}{\mathsf{TP} + \mathsf{FP} + \mathsf{FN}}$$

Balanced accuracy (useful when # positives $\ll \#$ negatives): (TPR + TNR)/2

Train/test with IntTracks: Test metrics for different cuts at two energies



M. Zotov, P. Zakharov

ANNs for EAS reconstruction

Реконструкция энергии для орбитального телескопа JEM-EUSO



Два метода реконструкции энергии КЛ ПВЭ, см. Exp. Astronomy (2015) 40:153.